

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/684,662	10/10/2000	John Boakes	367.39057X00	4096
	7590 09/17/2003			
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			EXAMINER	
			LE, HOANGANH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/684,662 Applicant(s)

Examiner

BOAKES et al

HOANGANH LE

Art Unit 2821

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In			_			
- if the p - if NO p - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) he application to becor	MONTHS (from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 5, 20	003		<u> </u>			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	tion is non-final					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-18, 22-24, 28-50, and 53-58			is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-18, 22-24, 28-50, and 53-58						
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>Aug 5, 2003</u> is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copie	es not re	eceived.			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmo		. . □					
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
	promation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Office Action: 5

Art Unit: 2821 File Paper Number: 19

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on August 5, 2003 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1,2,4-18,22-24,28-50, and 53-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1,22, and 57, the word "tongue-shaped" is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999

Art Unit: 2821 File Paper Number: 19

(AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1,2,4-18,22-24,28-50, and 53-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Winstead et al (the US patent No. 6,232,924, of record).

The Winstead et al reference teaches in figures 6 and 7 an antenna comprising: a conductive element 33 defining a planar antenna and a generally flat and planar "tongue-shaped" flexible member 93,94 arranged to carry the conductive element and to protrude at a fixed position from a surface of a handheld telecommunication apparatus (figure 4). The conductive element 33 is embedded in the flexible member (figure 6). The flexible member is generally flat and planar (col.8, lines 7-20). The conductive element is disposed on a central bend axis of the flexible member (figure 6). The conductive element 18 is disposed on a substrate (Col. 8, line 20). The flexible member is biased towards a generally planar equilibrium (figure 4). The assembly further comprises a relatively rigid base portion 96 for connecting the assembly to the telecommunication apparatus. The conductive element is a pre-formed wire (figure 6). The conductive member is a stamped out pattern from a planar sheet. The conductive element is stainless steel or spring steel.

The conductive is disposed on the substrate by a process of etching (col. 8, line 19-35).

Office Action: 5

Art Unit: 2821 File Paper Number: 19

The conductive element is disposed on the substrate by a process of printing using conductive ink (col. 8, lines 19-35). The substrate is polyeste (col. 8, line 21). The substrate is polyamide (col. 8, line 21). The flexible member is a thermo plastic elastomer. The conductive element is within the flexible member (figure 6). The Winstead et all reference also teaches in figure 6 a method of producing an antenna assembly comprising the steps of arranging a planar antenna element 33 to be disposed on a substrate and encapsulating the planar antenna element within a flexible member by means of an injection moulding process (col. 8, lines 19-67 and col. 9, lines 1-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1,2, 4-18,22-24,28-50 and 57-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shoemaker (the US Patent No. 5,363,114, of record).

The Shoemaker reference teaches in figures 1-3 an antenna comprising: a conducttive element R defining a planar antenna and a flexible member C arranged to

Office Action: 5

Art Unit: 2821 File Paper Number: 19

carry the conductive element and to protrude at a fixed position from a surface of a handheld telecommunication apparatus. The conductive element R is embedded in the flexible member (figure 1). The flexible member is generally flat and planar. The conductive element is disposed on a central bend axis of the flexible member (figure 1). The conductive element R is disposed on a substrate (figure 2). The flexible member is biased towards a generally planar equilibrium (figure 1). The assembly further comprises a relatively rigid base portion 41 for connecting the assembly to the telecommunication apparatus. The conductive element is a pre-formed wire (figure 2). The conductive member is a stamped out pattern from a planar sheet. The conductive element is stainless steel or spring steel. The conductive is disposed on the substrate by a process of etching. The conductive element is disposed on the substrate by a process of printing using conductive ink. The substrate is polyeste. The substrate is polyamide. The flexible member is a thermo plastic elastomer. The conductive element is within the flexible member (figure 1). Shoemaker does not teach the flexible member being tongue-shaped.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the flexible member being tongue-shaped, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

8. Claims 1,2,4-9,12, and 57-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over MacDonald, Jr. et al (the US Patent No. 6,056,708).

The MacDonald, Jr. et al reference teaches in figures 1-2 an antenna comprising: a conductive element 18 defining a planar antenna and a flexible member 20,22 arranged to carry the conductive element and to protrude at a fixed position from a surface of a handheld telecommunication apparatus. The conductive element 18 is embedded in the flexible member (figure 2). The flexible member is generally flat and planar. The conductive element is disposed on a central bend axis of the flexible member (figure 2). The conductive element R is disposed on a substrate (figure 2). The flexible member is biased towards a generally planar equilibrium (figure 1). The assembly further comprises a relatively rigid base portion for connecting the assembly to the telecommunication apparatus. The flexible member is a thermo plastic elastomer. The conductive element is within the flexible member (figure 2). MacDonald, Jr. et al do not teach the flexible member being tongue-shaped.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the flexible member being tongue-shaped, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Office Action: 5

Art Unit: 2821 File Paper Number: 19

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2,4-18, 22-24,28-50, and 53-58 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Correspondence

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Hoanganh Le whose telephone number is (703) 308-4921.
- 11. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.
- 12. Papers related to Technology Center 2800 applications only may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 or (703) 308-7724.

Hoanganh Le Primary Examiner Art Unit 2821 Manganh Le Primary Examiner